

Errata in the article

Two-Step Linear Least-Squares Method for Photovoltaic Single-Diode Model Parameters Extraction

Authors: F.J. Toledo, J.M. Blanes and V. Galiano
 Journal: IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics
 Volume and number: **65**, no. 8
 Pages: 6301-6308
 Publication year: 2018
 DOI: 10.1109/TIE.2018.2793216

In the last column of the Table I of the previous article (page 6305), value 1.3001512 is wrong, the correct value is 1.2392888. Below, you can find both, the original wrong table and the corrected one.

TABLE I
 RESULTS FOR CASE STUDY 1—PV MODULE (36 SOLAR CELLS, $T = 45\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$)

	<i>Laudani et al.</i> <i>Solution 1.D [21]</i>	<i>Cardenas et al.</i> <i>Solution 1.b [23]</i>	TSLLS	<i>TSLLS With</i> <i>Refinement</i>
$I_{ph} (A)$	1.0323759	1.032377	1.0335685	1.0323823
$I_{sat} (\mu A)$	2.5188848	2.517957	2.2709763	2.5129059
$n_s \cdot R_s (\Omega)$	1.2390187	1.239060	1.2599674	1.3001512 ← Wrong value
$n_s \cdot R_{sh} (\Omega)$	745.6431	745.7122	687.87337	744.71302
n	1.3174002	1.3173635	1.3069558	1.3171591
RMSE	2.0465409E-3	2.0465456E-3	2.1722792E-3	2.0465347E-3
MAE	1.6917202E-3	1.6925284E-3	1.7242989E-3	1.6923215E-3
<i>Number of steps</i>	28	27	–	6
<i>Number of FE</i>	204	141	–	42

TABLE I
 RESULTS FOR CASE STUDY 1—PV MODULE (36 SOLAR CELLS, $T = 45\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$)

	<i>Laudani et al.</i> <i>Solution 1.D [21]</i>	<i>Cardenas et al.</i> <i>Solution 1.b [23]</i>	TSLLS	<i>TSLLS With</i> <i>Refinement</i>
$I_{ph} (A)$	1.0323759	1.032377	1.0335685	1.0323823
$I_{sat} (\mu A)$	2.5188848	2.517957	2.2709763	2.5129059
$n_s \cdot R_s (\Omega)$	1.2390187	1.239060	1.2599674	1.2392888 ← Correct value
$n_s \cdot R_{sh} (\Omega)$	745.6431	745.7122	687.87337	744.71302
n	1.3174002	1.3173635	1.3069558	1.3171591
RMSE	2.0465409E-3	2.0465456E-3	2.1722792E-3	2.0465347E-3
MAE	1.6917202E-3	1.6925284E-3	1.7242989E-3	1.6923215E-3
<i>Number of steps</i>	28	27	–	6
<i>Number of FE</i>	204	141	–	42